1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7 8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
9	AT SEAT	ΓLE
10	ANOVA APPLIED	CASE NO. C23-0845JLR
11	ELECTRONICS, INC.,	ORDER
12	Plaintiff, v.	
13	INKBIRD TECH. C.L., et al.,	
14	Defendants.	
15	Before the court is Plaintiff Anova Applied Electronics, Inc.'s ("Anova") motion	
16	for an order to show cause. (Mot. (Dkt. # 35).) The court DENIES Anova's motion as	
17	moot.	
18	On December 7, 2023, attorneys John T. Fetters, Valerie Walker, and Donald R.	
19	McPhail filed a notice of appearance on behalf of Defendants Inkbird Tech. C.L.	
20	("Inkbird"); Shenzhen Jingtaitengda Technology Co., Ltd. ("Dreamytenda"); and	
21 22	Shenzhenshi Yingbozhikong Keji Youxian Gong	gsi ("Mixtea360") (together,

1	"Defendants"). (12/7/23 Notice (Dkt. # 32).) On December 8, 2023, they filed a	
2	praecipe in which they asked the court to consider a corrected notice of appearance.	
3	(Praecipe (Dkt. # 34) at 1; see Corr. Notice (Dkt. # 34-1).) Counsel explained that they	
4	had inadvertently filed the notice of appearance on behalf of all three Defendants when	
5	they should have appeared only on behalf of Dreamytenda. (Praecipe at 1.)	
6	Anova filed the instant motion on December 12, 2023. (See generally Mot.) It	
7	asks the court to "order counsel for Dreamytenda to state why they backed out from	
8	representing" Inkbird and Mixtea 360. (Id. at 2.) Dreamytenda filed a timely response,	
9	and Anova filed a timely reply, making this motion ripe for decision on December 29,	
10	2023. (See Resp. (Dkt. # 37); Reply (Dkt. # 40).)	
11	On December 28, 2023, counsel for Dreamytenda filed waivers of service of	
12	summons on behalf of Inkbird and Mixtea360. (See Waivers (Dkt. ## 38-39).) They	
13	filed a notice of appearance on behalf of Inkbird and Mixtea 360 on January 4, 2024. (See	
14	1/4/24 Notice (Dkt. # 41).) Because counsel have now appeared on behalf of all three	
15	Defendants, Anova's request for an order directing counsel to explain why they are	
16	representing only Dreamytenda is moot. Therefore, the court DENIES Anova's motion	
17	for an order to show cause (Dkt. # 35).	
18	Dated this 19th day of January, 2024.	
19		
20	(Jun R. Rlut	
21	JAMÉS L. ROBART United States District Judge	
22		